# On the Complexity of Halfspace Volume Queries

Erik D. Demaine\*

Jeff Erickson<sup>†</sup>

Stefan Langerman<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

Given a polyhedron P in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with n vertices, a halfspace volume query asks for the volume of  $P \cap H$  for a given halfspace H. We show that, for  $d \geq 3$ , such queries can require  $\Omega(n)$  operations even if the polyhedron P is convex and can be preprocessed arbitrarily.

#### 1 Introduction

A typical range query problem can be formulated as follows: Preprocess a set S of n points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  so that, given an arbitrary query range  $r \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  of some fixed type, the number of points in  $r \cap S$  can be computed efficiently. There is extensive literature on this class of problems [1], but little has been done to generalize it to a more continuous setting.

We consider range queries on (solid) polyhedra in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where the ranges are halfspaces. We denote the halfspaces above and below a hyperplane h by  $h^+$  and  $h^-$ , respectively. Let P be a fixed polyhedron. A halfspace volume query asks, given a query hyperplane h, to compute the volume of the intersection  $P \cap h^-$  (or equivalenty, of  $P \cap h^+$ ).

Czyzowicz, Contreras-Alcalá, and Urrutia [3, 4] studied the problem of halfplane-area queries, in the special case where P is a convex polygon. In that case, an O(n)space data structure can be constructed to find the two edges intersected by the query line h in  $O(\log n)$  time. Given those two edges, they show a simple technique to compute the area of  $P \cap h^-$  in O(1) time. Boland and Urrutia [2] observe that the same method also works for non-convex polygons as long as h intersects exactly two edges of P. If h intersects k edges of P, these edges can be found in  $O(k \log n)$  time using standard ray-shooting techniques. Then, given those k edges, the algorithm of Czyzowicz *et al.* can be generalized to compute the area of  $P \cap h^-$  in O(k) time.

In light of results in discrete range searching, where most queries can be performed in sublinear time aftre suitable preprocessing, it is natural to ask whether halfplane-area queries can be performed in o(k) time. Recently, Langerman [6] gave a negative answer, showing that any straight-line program requires  $\Omega(k)$  operations to answer arbitrary halfplane area queries, even if the k edges intersecting h are known in advance, and regardless of preprocessing time and storage space.

Iacono and Langerman [5] generalized the data structures for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to simply connected polyhedra P in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . As in the planar case, the k edges of P that intersect hcan be found in  $O(k \log n)$  time; given those k edges, the volume of  $P \cap h^-$  can be computed in O(k) time with a data structure using O(n) space and preprocessing. Langerman's lower bound [6] implies that the O(k)time bound is worst-case optimal when P is not convex, but this lower bound does not apply when P is convex.

Our main result is that Iacono and Langerman's algorithm is optimal even when P is convex.

**Main Theorem.** For any  $d \geq 3$ , any straight-line program that answers halfspace-volume queries for a fixed convex polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  requires  $\Omega(k)$  time in the worst case, where k is the number of edges intersecting the query hyperplane, regardless of preprocessing and storage space, even if the k intersected edges are known at preprocessing time.

Like all lower bounds in the straight-line-program model, including Langerman's earlier result [6], our bound also holds in more general models of computation such as algebraic computation trees and the real RAM.

## 2 Proof

We prove our lower bound for a specific class of queries to be performed on a particular convex polyhedron P in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We first define a planar polygon Q with vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ , where  $v_i = (a_i, a_i^2, 1)$  and  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ . This polygon is clearly convex. Our polyhedron P is the unbounded cone whose apex is the origin (0, 0, 0) and whose intersection with the plane z = 1 is the polygon Q.

For any query hyperplane h, the polygon  $P \cap h$  is a projective transformation of the base polygon Q, and computing the volume of  $P \cap h^-$  clearly reduces to computing the area of this transformed polygon. To prove the lower bound, we consider the following more general problem. Let  $\pi$  denote the plane z = 1. A projective area query asks, given an arbitrary linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ , represented by a  $3 \times 3$  matrix, to

<sup>\*</sup>MIT Laboratory for Computer Science, edemaine@mit.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, jeffe@cs.uiuc. edu, http://www.cs.uiuc.edu/~jeffe. Partially supported by NSF CAREER award CCR-0093348 and NSF ITR grants DMR-0121695 and CCR-0219594.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Chargé de recherches du FNRS, Université Libre de Bruxelles, stefan.langerman@ulb.ac.be

compute the area of  $T(P) \cap \pi$ . (We can equivalently view T as a planar projective transformation from  $\pi$  to itself that maps Q to  $T(P) \cap \pi$ .) We easily observe that

$$\operatorname{vol}(T(P) \cap \pi^{-}) = \det(T) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(P \cap T^{-1}(\pi^{-}))$$
$$= \frac{\det(T)}{3} \cdot \operatorname{area}(P \cap T^{-1}(\pi)).$$

Both det(T) and the plane  $T^{-1}(\pi)$  can be computed in constant time. Thus, to prove our main theorem, it suffices to show that answering an arbitrary projective area query for P requires  $\Omega(n)$  time.

We prove this lower bound by considering transformations of the form

$$T_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some real value x > 0. The transformed polygon  $Q'_x = T_x(P) \cap \pi$  has vertices  $v'_0, v'_1, \ldots, v'_n$ , where

$$v_i' = \left(\frac{a_i}{a_ix+1}, \frac{a_i^2}{a_ix+1}, 1\right).$$

The area of  $Q'_x$  can be expressed as the sum of the signed areas of all triangles of the form  $\Delta v'_0 v'_{i-1} v'_i$ ; recall that  $v'_0 = v_0 = (0, 0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{split} F(x) &= \operatorname{area}(Q'_x) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \operatorname{area}(\triangle v'_0 v'_{i-1} v'_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\operatorname{area}(\triangle v_0 v_{i-1} v_i)}{(a_i x+1)(a_{i-1} x+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{a_i^2 a_{i-1} - a_{i-1}^2 a_i}{(a_i x+1)(a_{i-1} x+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{(a_i^2 a_{i-1})(a_{i-1} x+1) - (a_{i-1}^2 a_i)(a_i x+1)}{(a_i x+1)(a_{i-1} x+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \frac{a_i^2 a_{i-1}}{a_i x+1} - \frac{a_{i-1}^2 a_i}{a_{i-1} x+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{a_i^2 a_{i-1}}{a_i x+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i^2 a_{i+1}}{a_i x+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a_1^2 a_2}{a_1 x+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{a_i^2 (a_{i-1} - a_{i+1})}{a_i x+1} + \frac{a_n^2 a_{n-1}}{a_n x+1} \right) \end{split}$$

F(x) is a rational function in x, parameterized by the values  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ . To prove a lower bound on the complexity of computing this function, we use the following theorem of Motzkin [7]:

**Motzkin's Theorem.** Let K be an infinite field. If  $u, v \in K[x]$  are relatively prime and the leading coefficient of v is 1, then

$$L_{+}(u/v) \ge T(u,v) - 1, \quad L_{*}(u/v) \ge \frac{1}{2}(T(u,v) - 1)$$

where  $L_+(f)$  is the minimum number of additions and subtractions, and  $L_*(f)$  the minimum number of multiplications and divisions, required to evaluate f, where operations not involving x are regarded as costless. T(u, v) is the degree of transcendence of the set of coefficients of u and v over the primefield of K.

To compute F(x) over some primefield  $\mathbb{K}$  (for example,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), we enlarge  $\mathbb{K}$  to the extension field  $K = \mathbb{K}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . If we write  $F(x) \in K(x)$  as a quotient of two polynomials, the denominator  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i x+1)$  has n algebraically independent roots  $-1/a_i$ , and thus the set of its coefficients has degree of transcendence n over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Our lower bound now follows immediately from Motzkin's theorem.

**Acknowledgments.** This work was initiated during the Workshop on Geometric and Computational Aspects of Instance-Based Learning, held at the Bellairs Research Institute, Barbados, January 31–February 7, 2003, organized by Godfried Toussaint.

# References

- P. K. Agarwal and J. Erickson. Geometric range searching and its relatives. Advances in Discrete and Computational Geometry, 1–56, 1999. Contemporary Mathematics 223, American Mathematical Society.
- R. Boland and J. Urrutia. Polygon area problems. Proc. 12th Canad. Conf. Comput. Geom., 159–162, 2000. (http://www.cccg.ca/proceedings/2000/).
- [3] F. Contreras-Alcalá. Cutting polygons and a problem on illumination of stages. M.Sc. thesis, Dept. Comp. Sci. University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 1998. (http://www.csi.uottawa.ca/~fhca/ thesis/).
- [4] J. Czyzowicz, F. Contreras-Alcalá, and J. Urrutia. On measuring areas of polygons. *Proc. 10th Canad. Conf. Comput. Geom.*, 1998. (http://www.cccg.ca/ proceedings/1998/).
- [5] J. Iacono and S. Langerman. Volume queries in polyhedra. Proc. Japan Conf. Discrete Comput. Geom., 156–159, 2000. Lecture Notes Comput. Sci. 2098, Springer-Verlag.
- [6] S. Langerman. On the complexity of halfspace area queries. Proc. 17th Annu ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom., 207–211, 2001. ACM Press.
- [7] V. Strassen. Algebraic complexity theory. Algorithms and Complexity, chapter 11, 633–672, 1990.
  Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science A, MIT Press.