

Proving that a problem  $X$  is NP-hard requires several steps:

- Choose a problem  $Y$  that you already know is NP-hard.
  - Describe an algorithm to solve  $Y$ , using an algorithm for  $X$  as a subroutine. Typically this algorithm has the following form: Given an instance of  $Y$ , transform it into an instance of  $X$ , and then call the magic black-box algorithm for  $X$ .
  - Prove that your algorithm is correct. This almost always requires two separate steps:
    - Prove that your algorithm transforms “good” instances of  $Y$  into “good” instances of  $X$ .
    - Prove that your algorithm transforms “bad” instances of  $Y$  into “bad” instances of  $X$ . Equivalently: Prove that if your transformation produces a “good” instance of  $X$ , then it was given a “good” instance of  $Y$ .
  - Argue that your algorithm for  $Y$  runs in polynomial time.
- 

Recall that a *Hamiltonian cycle* in a graph  $G$  is a cycle that visits every vertex of  $G$  exactly once.

1. In class on Thursday, Jeff proved that it is NP-hard to determine whether a given *directed* graph contains a Hamiltonian cycle. Prove that it is NP-hard to determine whether a given *undirected* graph contains a Hamiltonian cycle.
  
2. A *double Hamiltonian circuit* in a graph  $G$  is a closed walk that goes through every vertex in  $G$  exactly *twice*. Prove that it is NP-hard to determine whether a given *undirected* graph contains a double Hamiltonian circuit.