

## CS 373: Combinatorial Algorithms, Spring 1999

<http://www-courses.cs.uiuc.edu/~cs373>

### Homework 5 (due Thu. Apr. 22, 1999 by noon)

|         |        |
|---------|--------|
| Name:   |        |
| Net ID: | Alias: |

Everyone must do the problems marked ►. Problems marked ▷ are for 1-unit grad students and others who want extra credit. (There's no such thing as "partial extra credit"!) Unmarked problems are extra practice problems for your benefit, which will not be graded. Think of them as potential exam questions.

Hard problems are marked with a star; the bigger the star, the harder the problem.

**Note:** You will be held accountable for the appropriate responses for answers (e.g. give models, proofs, analyses, etc)

### Undergrad/.75U Grad/1U Grad Problems

- 1. (5 pts) Show how to find the occurrences of pattern  $P$  in text  $T$  by computing the prefix function of the string  $PT$  (the concatenation of  $P$  and  $T$ ).
- 2. (10 pts total) Fibonacci strings and KMP matching  
*Fibonacci strings* are defined as follows:
- $$F_1 = \text{"b"}, \quad F_2 = \text{"a"}, \quad \text{and } F_n = F_{n-1}F_{n-2}, (n > 2)$$
- where the recursive rule uses concatenation of strings, so  $F_2$  is "ab",  $F_3$  is "aba". Note that the length of  $F_n$  is the  $n$ th Fibonacci number.
- (a) (2 pts) Prove that in any Fibonacci string there are no two b's adjacent and no three a's.
- (b) (2 pts) Give the unoptimized and optimized 'prefix' (fail) function for  $F_7$ .
- (c) (3 pts) Prove that, in searching for a Fibonacci string of length  $m$  using unoptimized KMP, it may shift up to  $\lceil \log_\phi m \rceil$  times, where  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , is the golden ratio. (Hint: Another way of saying the above is that we are given the string  $F_n$  and we may have to shift  $n$  times. Find an example text  $T$  that gives this number of shifts).
- (d) (3 pts) What happens here when you use the optimized prefix function? Explain.
- 3. (5 pts) Prove that finding the second smallest of  $n$  elements takes  $n + \lceil \lg n \rceil - 2$  comparisons in the worst case. Prove for both upper and lower bounds. Hint: find the (first) smallest using an elimination tournament.
- 4. (4 pts, 2 each) Lower Bounds on Adjacency Matrix Representations of Graphs
- (a) Prove that the time to determine if an undirected graph has a cycle is  $\Omega(V^2)$ .

- (b) Prove that the time to determine if there is a path between two nodes in an undirected graph is  $\Omega(V^2)$ .

### Only 1U Grad Problems

- ▷1. (5 pts) Prove that  $\lceil 3n/2 \rceil - 2$  comparisons are necessary in the worst case to find both the minimum and maximum of  $n$  numbers. Hint: Consider how many are potentially either the min or max.

### Practice Problems

1. String matching with wild-cards  
Suppose you have an alphabet for patterns that includes a 'gap' or wild-card character; any length string of any characters can match this additional character. For example if '\*' is the wild-card, then the pattern 'foo\*bar\*nad' can be found in 'foofoowangbarnad'. Modify the computation of the prefix function to correctly match strings using KMP.
2. Prove that there is no comparison sort whose running time is linear for at least 1/2 of the  $n!$  inputs of length  $n$ . What about at least  $1/n$ ? What about at least  $1/2^n$ ?
3. Prove that  $2n - 1$  comparisons are necessary in the worst case to merge two sorted lists containing  $n$  elements each.
4. Find asymptotic upper and lower bounds to  $\lg(n!)$  without Stirling's approximation (Hint: use integration).
5. Given a sequence of  $n$  elements of  $n/k$  blocks ( $k$  elements per block) all elements in a block are less than those to the right in sequence, show that you cannot have the whole sequence sorted in better than  $\Omega(n \lg k)$ . Note that the entire sequence would be sorted if each of the  $n/k$  blocks were individually sorted in place. Also note that combining the lower bounds for each block is not adequate (that only gives an upper bound).
6. Some elementary reductions
  - (a) Prove that if you can decide whether a graph  $G$  has a clique of size  $k$  (or less) then you can decide whether a graph  $G'$  has an independent set of size  $k$  (or more).
  - (b) Prove that if you can decide whether one graph  $G_1$  is a subgraph of another graph  $G_2$  then you can decide whether a graph  $G$  has a clique of size  $k$  (or less).
7. There is no Proof but We are pretty Sure  
Justify (prove) the following logical rules of inference:
  - (a) Classical - If  $a \rightarrow b$  and  $a$  hold, then  $b$  holds.
  - (b) Fuzzy - Prove: If  $a \rightarrow b$  holds, and  $a$  holds with probability  $p$ , then  $b$  holds with probability less than  $p$ . Assume all probabilities are independent.
  - (c) Give formulas for computing the probabilities of the fuzzy logical operators 'and', 'or', 'not', and 'implies', and fill out truth tables with the values T (true,  $p = 1$ ), L (likely,  $p = 0.9$ ), M (maybe,  $p = 0.5$ ), N (not likely,  $p = 0.1$ ), and F (false,  $p = 0$ ).

- (d) If you have a poly time (algorithmic) reduction from problem  $B$  to problem  $A$  (i.e. you can solve  $B$  using  $A$  with a poly time conversion), and it is very unlikely that  $A$  has better than lower bound  $\Omega(2^n)$  algorithm, what can you say about problem  $A$ . Hint: a solution to  $A$  implies a solution to  $B$ .