14 Planar Separators

Let $\Sigma$ be an arbitrary planar map, with non-negative weights on its vertices, edges, and/or faces that sum to $W$. A simple cycle $C$ in a planar map $\Sigma$ is a balanced cycle separator if the total weight of all vertices, edges, and faces on either side of $C$ is at most $3W/4$. As long as each vertex, edge, or face of $\Sigma$ has weight at most $W/4$, there is a balanced cycle separator with at most $O(\sqrt{n})$ vertices; moreover, we can compute such a cycle in $O(n)$ time.

14.1 Tree separators

Before we consider separators in planar graphs, let's consider the simpler case of trees. Here a balanced separator is a single edge that splits the tree into two subtrees of roughly equal weight.

Let $T = (V,E)$ be an unrooted tree in which every vertex has degree at most 3. Intuitively, $T$ is a “binary” tree, but without a root and without a distinction between left and right children. (This bounded-degree assumption is necessary.) Assign each vertex $v$ a non-negative weight $w(v)$ and let $W := \sum_v w(v)$.

Tree-separator lemma: If every vertex has weight at most $W/4$, there is an edge $e$ in $T$ such that the total weight in either component of $T \setminus e$ is at most $3W/4$.

Proof: Pick an arbitrary leaf $r$ of $T$ as the root, and direct all edges away from $r$, so every vertex in $T$ has at most two children. By attaching leaves with weight zero, we can assume without loss of generality that every non-leaf vertex has exactly two children.

For any vertex $v$, let $W(v)$ denote the total weight of $v$ and its descendants; for example, $W(r) = W$. For any non-leaf vertex $v$, label its children $\text{heft}(v)$ and $\text{lite}(v)$ so that $W(\text{heft}(v)) \leq W(\text{lite}(v))$ (breaking ties arbitrarily).

Starting at the root $r$, follow $\text{heft}$ pointers down to the first vertex $x$ such that $W(\text{heft}(x)) \leq W/4$. Then we immediately have

$$W/4 < W(x)$$

$$= W(\text{heft}(x)) + W(\text{lite}(x)) + w(x)$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot W(\text{heft}(x)) + w(x)$$

$$\leq 3W/4.$$

Let $e$ be the edge between $x$ and its parent. The two components of $T \setminus e$ have total weight $W(x) \leq 3W - 4$ and $W - W(x) < 3W/4$. □.

It's easy to see that the upper bounds on vertex degree and vertex weight are both necessary. This separator lemma has several variants; I'll mention just a few without proof:

Unweighted tree-separator lemma: For any $n$-vertex tree $T$ with maximum degree 3, there is an edge $e$ such that the each component of $T \setminus e$ has at most $2n/3$ vertices.

Edge-weight tree-separator lemma: For any tree $T$ with maximum degree 3 and any weights on the edges of $T$ that sum to $W$, there is an edge $e$ such that both components of $T \setminus e$ have total edge weight at most $2W/3$.

Vertex tree-separator lemma: For any tree $T$ and any weights on the vertices of $T$ that sum to $W$, there is a vertex $v$ such that every component of $T \setminus v$ has total weight at most $W/2$.  
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14.2 Fundamental cycle separators

Now let $\Sigma$ be a planar triangulation. Assign each face $f$ a non-negative weight $w(f) \leq W/4$, where $W := \sum f w(f)$. (Again, the upper bounds on face degree and face weight are both necessary.) A cycle $C$ in $\Sigma$ is a balanced separator if the total weight on either side of $C$ is at most $3W/4$.

Let $T$ be an arbitrary spanning tree of $\Sigma$. For any non-tree edge $e$, the fundamental cycle $cycle(T, e)$ is the unique cycle in $T + e$, consisting of $e$ and the unique path in $T$ between the endpoints of $e$.

**Lemma:** At least one fundamental cycle $cycle(T, e)$ is a balanced separator for $\Sigma$.

**Proof:** Let $C^*$ be the spanning tree of $\Sigma^*$ complementary to $T$. Because $\Sigma$ is a triangulation, every vertex of $C^*$ has degree at most 3. Let vertex of $C^*$ inherit its weight from the corresponding face of $\Sigma$. The tree-separator lemma implies that there is some edge $e$ such that each component of $C^* \setminus e^*$ has at most $3/4$ the total weight of the vertices of $C^*$. It follows that $cycle(T, e)$ is a balanced separator. \[\square\]

We can extend this lemma to the setting where vertices and edges also have weights, in addition to faces. Let $w: V \cup E \cup F \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be the given weight function. Define a new face-weight function $w': F \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by moving the weight of each vertex and edge to some incident face.

Unfortunately, fundamental cycles can be quite long. For any particular map $\Sigma$, we can minimize the maximum length of all fundamental cycles using a breadth-first search tree as our spanning tree $T$, but in the worst case, every fundamental balanced cycle separator has length $\Omega(n)$.

For most applications of balanced separators, breadth-first fundamental cycles are usually the best choice in practice.

14.3 Breadth-first level separators

A second easy method for computing separators is to consider the levels of a breadth-first search tree. For the moment, let’s assume that the vertices of $\Sigma$ are weighted. For each integer $\ell$, let $V_\ell$ denote the vertices $\ell$ steps away from the root vertex of $T$. By computing a weighted median, we can find a level $V_m$ such that the total vertex weight in any component of $\Sigma \setminus V_m$ is at most $W/2$.

There are two obvious problems with this separator construction. The less serious problem is that the medial level $V_m$ is not a cycle; it’s just a cloud of vertices. Many applications of planar separators don’t actually require cycle separators, but most of the applications we’ll see in this class do. The more serious problem is size; in the worst case, the set $V_m$ could contain a constant fraction of the vertices.

When Richard Lipton and Robert Tarjan introduced planar separators in 1979, they did not consider cycle separators. Rather, they proved that there is always a subset $S$ of $O(\sqrt{n})$ vertices such that any component of $\Sigma \setminus S$ has at most $2n/3$ vertices. Lipton and Tarjan’s construction combines fundamental cycle separators and BFS-level separators. I will not described their construction in detail, partly because we really do need cycles, and partly because all the key ideas show up in the next section.

14.4 Cycle separators

Gary Miller was the first to prove that small balanced cycle separators exist, in 1986. The following refinement of Miller’s algorithm is based on later proofs by Philip Klein, Shay Mozes,
and Christian Sommer (2012) and Sariel Har-Peled and Amir Nayyeri (2018). Miller’s key idea was to generalize our notion of “level” from vertices to faces.

As in our earlier setup, let $\Sigma$ be a simple planar triangulation with weighted faces, where no individual face weight is too large. Let $T_0$ be a breadth-first search tree, and suppose the fundamental cycle $\text{cycle}(T_0,xy)$ is a balanced separator. If this cycle has length $O(\sqrt{n})$, we are done, so assume otherwise.

Let $r$ denote the least common ancestor of $x$ and $y$, and let $T$ be a breadth-first search tree rooted at $r$. The cycle $\text{cycle}(T,xy)=\text{cycle}(T_0,xy)$ is still a balanced separator.

For any vertex $v$, let $\text{level}(v)$ denote the breadth-first distance from $r$ to $v$. Without loss of generality, assume $\text{level}(x) \leq \text{level}(y)$. Then for any face $f$, let $\text{level}(f)$ denote the maximum level among the three vertices of $f$. A face at level $\ell$ has vertices only at levels $\ell$ and $\ell-1$. Let $o$ denote the outer face of $\Sigma$, and without loss of generality, assume that $L = \text{level}(o) = \max_f \text{level}(f)$.

For any integer $\ell$, let $U_{\leq \ell}$ denote the union of all faces with level at most $\ell$, and let $C_\ell$ be the outer boundary of $U_{\leq \ell}$. Trivially $U_{\leq 0} = \emptyset$ and therefore $C_0 = \emptyset$. Similarly, for any $\ell \geq L$, we have $U_{\leq \ell} = \mathbb{R}^2$ and therefore $C_\ell = \emptyset$.

**Lemma:** (a) Every vertex in $C_\ell$ has level $\ell$.
(b) Every non-empty subgraph $C_\ell$ is a simple cycle.
(c) The cycles $C_\ell$ are pairwise vertex-disjoint.
(d) The fundamental cycle $\text{cycle}(T,xy)$ intersects $C_\ell$ in at most two vertices

**Proof:** Part (a) follows directly from the definitions.

By construction $C_\ell$ consists of one or more simple cycles, any two of which share at most one vertex. Let $C$ be the simple cycle in $C_\ell$ that contains $r$ in its interior, and let $v$ be any vertex of $C \setminus C$. Let $u$ be the second-to-last vertex on the shortest path from $r$ to $v$. Vertex $u$ has level $\ell-1$ and therefore does not lie on $C$; moreover, because $v \notin C$, vertex $u$ cannot lie in the interior of $C$. The Jordan curve theorem implies that the shortest path from $u$ to $r$ crosses $C$, but this is impossible, because levels decrease monotonically along that path. We conclude that $C_\ell = C$, proving part (b).

Part (c) follows immediately from part (a).

Finally, the vertices of cycle $\text{cycle}(T,xy)$ lie on two shortest paths from $r$, one to $x$ and the other to $y$. Levels increase monotonically along any shortest path from $r$. Thus, by part (a), the shortest paths from $r$ to $x$ and $y$ each share a single vertex with $C_\ell$. $\square$

Let $m$ be the largest integer such that the total weight of all faces inside $C_m$ is at most $W/2$. Then the total weight of the faces outside $C_{m+1}$ is also at most $W/2$. If either of these cycles is a balanced cycle separator of length $O(\sqrt{n})$, we are done, so assume otherwise. We choose two level cycles $C_o$ and $C_b$ as follows.\(^1\)

- Consider the set of cycles $C^- = \{C_\ell \mid m - \sqrt{n} < \ell \leq m\}$. These $\sqrt{n}$ cycles contain at most $n$ vertices, and therefore some cycle $C^-$ in this set must have length less than $\sqrt{n}$. By

\(^1\)I am ignoring two extreme cases. First, if $m < \sqrt{n}$, we define $C^- = \emptyset$; similarly, if $m > \text{level}(y) - \sqrt{n}$, we define $C^- = \emptyset$. Handling these special cases in the rest of the construction is straightforward.
construction, the total weight of all faces inside $C^{-}$ is at most $W/2$.

- Similarly, consider the set $C^+ = \{C_\ell \mid m < \ell \leq m + \sqrt{n}\}$. These $\sqrt{n}$ cycles contain at most $n$ vertices, and therefore some cycle $C^+$ in this set must have length less than $\sqrt{n}$. By construction, the total weight of all faces outside $C^+$ is at most $W/2$.

Let $\pi_x$ denote the portion of the shortest path from $r$ to $x$ with levels between $a$ and $b$, and define $\pi_y$ similarly. By construction, each of these paths has length at most $2\sqrt{n}$. Let $\Theta$ denote the graph $C_a \cup C_b \cup \pi_x \cup \pi_y$, as shown in the figure below. This subgraph of $\Theta$ has at most $4\sqrt{n}$ vertices and edges. We label the four faces of $\Theta$ as follows:

- $A$ is the interior of $C^{-}$.
- $B$ is the exterior of $C^+$.
- $C$ is the region between $C^+$ and $C^-$ and outside cycle$(T, xy)$.
- $D$ is the region between $C^+$ and $C^-$ and inside cycle$(T, xy)$.

![Figure 1: Regions in the cycle-separator algorithm.](image)

Let $W(S)$ denote the total weight of the set of faces $S$. By construction we have

$$W(A) \leq W/2, \quad W(B) \leq W/2, \quad W(C) \leq 3W/4, \quad W(D) \leq 3W/4.$$ 

At least one of these four regions contains total weight $W/4$; the boundary of that region is a balanced cycle separator of length $O(\sqrt{n})$.

### 14.5 Good $r$-divisions

An $r$-division is a decomposition of a planar map into $n/r$ pieces, each of which has $O(r)$ vertices and $O(\sqrt{r})$ boundary vertices (shared with other pieces). An $r$-division is good if each piece is a disk with $O(1)$ holes. For any $r$, we can construct a good $r$-division by recursively subdividing the input triangulation along balanced cycle separators as follows.

In each recursive call, we are given a region $R$, which is a connected subcomplex of the original triangulation $\Sigma$. Any face of the region $R$ that is not a face of $\Sigma$ is called a hole; any vertex of $R$ that is incident to a hole is a boundary vertex of $R$. To split $R$ into two smaller regions, we first triangulate $R$ by inserting an artificial vertex $v_h$ inside each hole $h$, along with artificial edges connecting $v_h$ to each corner of $h$. We then compute a cycle separator in the resulting triangulation $R'$, splitting it into two smaller triangulated regions $R'_0$ and $R'_1$. Finally, we delete the artificial vertices and edges from $R'_0$ and $R'_1$ to get the final regions $R_0$ and $R_1$. 
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Figure 2: A region with three holes, a cycle separator for the triangulated region, and the resulting smaller regions.

To simultaneously bound the number of vertices, the number of boundary vertices, and the number of holes in the final regions, we cycle through three different vertex weights at different levels of recursion. Specifically, at recursion depth $l$, we weight the vertices as follows:

- If $l \mod 3 = 0$, we give natural vertices weight 1 and artificial vertices weight 0, so that the separator splits natural vertices evenly.
- If $l \mod 3 = 1$, we give boundary vertices weight 1 and all other vertices weight 0, so that the separator splits boundary vertices evenly.
- If $l \mod 3 = 2$, we give artificial vertices weight 1 and natural vertices weight 0, so that the separator splits holes evenly.

Let $T_r(n, b, h)$ denote the time to compute a good $r$-division for a region with $n$ vertices, $b$ boundary vertices, and $h$ holes. Expanding out three levels of recursion, we have

$$T_r(n, b, h) = O(n+h) + \sum_{i=1}^{8} T_r(n_i, b_i, h_i),$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8} n_i \leq n + O(\sqrt{n}) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{8} b_i \leq b + O(\sqrt{n}) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{8} h_i \leq h + O(1)$$

$$\max_i n_i \leq 3n/4 + O(\sqrt{n}) \quad \max_i b_i \leq 3b/4 + O(\sqrt{n}) \quad \max_i h_i \leq 3h/4 + O(1)$$

for suitable absolute big-Oh constants. The recursion stops when the number of vertices in each piece is $O(r)$. Every leaf in the recursion tree has depth at most $O(\log(n/r))$, and there are at most $O(n/r)$ such leaves. One can prove by induction that in every recursive subproblem, the number of boundary vertices is at most $O(\sqrt{T})$ and the number of holes is at most $O(1)$, so we end with a good $r$-division. We perform $O(n)$ work at every level of recursion, so the overall running time of the algorithm is $T_r(n, 0, 0) = O(n \log(n/r))$.

Greg Frederickson introduced $r$-divisions (based on non0-cycle separators) in 1989. Good $r$-divisions and the algorithm I’ve just described were proposed by Philip Klein, Shay Mozes, and Christian Sommer in 2012. In the same paper, Klein, Mozes, and Sommer describe a faster algorithm that runs in only $O(n)$ time. using dynamic forests (to maintain tree-cotree decomposition of the pieces, identify the fundamental cycle separator, compute least common ancestors, and compute the weight enclosed by a short cycle), along with several other data structures. Using these data structures, their algorithm finds each cycle separator in only
\(O(\sqrt{n \log^2 n})\) time; the overall running time is dominated by the time to build the initial tree-tree decomposition, compute levels for the faces of the input triangulation, and initialize the various data structures.

In the next lecture we’ll see how to use \(r\)-divisions to compute shortest paths quickly.

### 14.6 Aptly Named Sir Not

- Cycle separators via Koebe-Andreev circle packing
- Nested dissection to compute Tutte's spring embedding in \(O(n^{3/2})\) time.
- Details of \(r\) divisions (and recursive \(r\)-divisions) in \(O(n)\) time.