



A simple puzzle

- Suppose you have two fuses (or shoelaces, or pieces of rope, or...).
- Each fuse will burn from one end to the other in exactly one hour, but not necessarily at a fixed rate.
- How do you accurately measure 45 minutes?



Cool.

But what if we did that more than once?





0	I/2	3/.



- We can use any *finite* number of fuses.
- We can light any number of fuse ends at the start.
- We can light any number of fuse ends at the exact moment another fuse burns out.
- The timer starts when the first fuse is lit.
- The timer ends when the last fuse burns out.
- No cheating! No other clocks, no cutting fuses, no lighting fuses in the middle, no extinguishing fuses, no infinite regress

The Rules

O is a *fusible number*. If x and y are fusible numbers such that |x-y| < 1, then (x+y+1)/2 is also a fusible number.

These are all the fusible numbers.



$x \sim y = (x + y + 1)/2$

"X fuse y"



Lemma: The set of fusible numbers is infinite. **Proof:** $1 - 2^{-n}$ is fusible for every integer $n \ge 0$. $1 - 2^{-0} = 0$ $1 - 2^{-n} = 0$

0

$$0 \sim (1 - 2^{-(n-1)})$$



Lemma: The set of fusible numbers is countable.

Proof: Every assemblage of fuses can be described by a (not necessarily unique) unordered binary tree.



Theorem: The fusible numbers are *well-ordered*. Thus, for every real number x, there is a smallest fusible number > x.

So we can apply induction on fusible numbers!



For the sake of argument, suppose there is an infinite decreasing sequence $x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > \cdots$ of fusible numbers.

This sequence must tend to a limit **x**. Without loss of generality, assume **x** is the **smallest** such limit.

For each index k, we have $x_k = y_k \sim z_k$ for some $y_k \leq z_k$.

either decreasing, constant, or increasing.

- Infinite Ramsey theorem \Rightarrow WLOG the infinite sequence y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots is

Suppose y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots is decreasing. Let $y = \lim y_i$

minimality of x.

- Suppose y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots is non-decreasing.
 - Then z_1, z_2, z_3, \ldots must be decreasing. Let $z = \lim z_i$
 - ▶ $y_1, y_2, ...$ converges to $y = \lim y_i = \lim (2x_i z_i 1) = 2x z 1$
 - Because $z_i \le x_i$ for all i, we have $z \le x$ and therefore z = x.
 - But $y_i \ge y_1 > x_1 1 > x 1$ for all i. So y > x 1 and thus z > x.

• Because $y_i \le x_i - 1/2$ for all i, we have y < x, contradicting the

0

Small examples

- $0 \sim 0 = 1/2$
- 0 ~ 1/2 = 3/4
- 0 ~ 3/4 = 7/8
- $0 \sim (1-2^{-n}) = 1-2^{-(n+1)}$

• •



 $1/2 \sim (1-2^{-n}) = 5/4-2^{-(n+1)}$

• • •



$$1/2 \sim 1/2 = 1$$

$$1/2 \sim 1 = 5/4$$

$$1/2 \sim 3/4 = 9/8$$

$$1/2 \sim 9/8 = 21/16$$

$$1/2 \sim 7/8 = 19/16$$

$$1/2 \sim (5/4 - 2^{-n}) = 11/8 - 2^{-n}$$

$$1/2 \sim (1 - 2^{-n}) = 5/4 - 2^{-(n+1)}$$



-(n+1)

$$1/2 - 1/2 = 1$$

$$1/2 - 1 = 5/4$$

$$1/2 - 3/4 = 9/8$$

$$1/2 - 9/8 = 21/16$$

$$1/2 - 7/8 = 19/16$$

$$1/2 - (5/4 - 2^{-n}) = 11/8 - 2^{-(n+1)}$$

$$1/2 - (1 - 2^{-n}) = 5/4 - 2^{-(n+1)}$$

$$1/2 - (3/2 - 2^{-m} - 2^{-n}) = 3/2 - 2^{-m} - 2^{-(n+1)}$$

$$1/2 - (3/2 - 2^{-m} - 2^{-n}) = 3/2 - 2^{-m} - 2^{-(n+1)}$$
Limit at $5/4$
Limit at $1/8$
Limit at $1/$















tame successor of x =# smallest tame fusible > x def TameSucc(x): if x < 0: return -x y = TameSucc(x-1)z = TameSucc(2x-y-1)return (y+z-1)/2

tame margin of x =
TameSucc(x) - x
def M(x):
 if x < 0:
 return -x
 return M(x-M(x-1))/2</pre>

Tame fusible numbers := { TameSucc(x) | $x \in \mathbb{R}$ }.

Conjecture [E 2010]: Every fusible number is tame.

Xu 2012: Nope! $8449/4096 = 33/16 + 2^{-12}$ is fusible but TameSucc $(33/16) = 33/16 + 2^{-11}$



tame successor of x =# smallest tame fusible > x def TameSucc(x): if x < 0: return -x y = TameSucc(x-1)z = TameSucc(2x-y-1)return (y+z-1)/2

tame margin of x =
TameSucc(x) - x
def M(x):
 if x < 0:
 return -x
 return M(x-M(x-1))/2</pre>

Let M(x) = margin of x= distance from x to the smallest **tame** fusible > x

$M(x) = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } x < 0\\ M(x - M(x - 1))/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$M(1) = \cdots$$

$$| M(0) = \cdots$$

$$| M(-1) = 1$$

$$| M(-1) = 1$$

$$| M(0) = 1/2$$

$$| M(0) = 1/2$$

$$| M(1/2) = \cdots$$

$$| M(-1/2) = 1/2$$

$$| M(0) = \cdots$$

$$| M(-1) = 1$$

$$| M(0) = 1/2$$

$$| M(0) = 1/2$$

$$| M(1/2) = 1/4$$

$$M(1) = 1/8$$





 $M(3/2) = \cdots$ $| M(1/2) = \cdots$ | | M(-1/2) = 1/2 $| | M(0) = \cdots$ | | | M(-1) = 1| | M(-1) = 1| | M(0) = 1/2M(1/2) = 1/4 $M(5/4) = \cdots$ $| | M(1/4) = \cdots$ | | | M(-3/4) = 3/4| | M(-1/2) = 1/2| M(1/4) = 1/4 $M(1) = \cdots$ $| | M(0) = \cdots$ M(-1) = 1| | M(-1) = 1

| | M(0) = 1/2 $| | M(1/2) = \cdots$ | | M(-1/2) = 1/2 $| | M(0) = \cdots$ | | | M(-1) = 1| | M(-1) = 1| | M(0) = 1/2| | M(1/2) = 1/4M(1) = 1/8| M(5/4) = 1/16M(3/2) = 1/32









```
M(2) = \cdots
 | M(1) = \cdots
 | M(0) = \cdots
 | | M(-1) = 1
   | M(-1) = 1
   M(0) = 1/2
 | M(1/2) = \cdots
    | M(-1/2) = 1/2
    | M(0) = \cdots
     | M(-1) = 1
     | M(-1) = 1
   | M(0) = 1/2
 | M(1/2) = 1/4
  M(1) = 1/8
 M(15/8) = \cdots
 | M(7/8) = \cdots
    | M(-1/8) = 1/8
    | M(3/4) = \cdots
    | | M(-1/4) = 1/4
| | | M(1/2) = \cdots
    | | | M(-1/2) = 1/2
          M(0) = \cdots
          | M(-1) = 1
```

if x < 0

4	
= 1	M(-1/2) =
1/2	M(1/4) = 1/
1/4	$ M(1) = \cdots$
2	
)	
• •	M(-1) =
• • •	M(-1) =
= 3/8	M(0) = 1/
= •••	M(1/2) =
(4) = 3/4	M(-1/2)
2) = 1/2	M(0) =
= 1/4	M(-1)
1/8	M(-1)
• • •	M(0) =
= •••	M(1/2) =
2) = 1/2	M(1) = 1/8
= • • •	M(5/4) = 1/16
1) = 1	M(3/2) = 1/32
1) - 1	
	M(13/8) - 1/64
= 1/2	M(7/4) = 1/128
= 1/4	M(29/16) = 1/256
= •••	M(15/8) = 1/512
) –	M(2) - 1/1024
	$r_{1}(2) - 1/1024$
3/4) = 3/4	



```
M(5/4) = 1/16
                                                         M(3/2) = 1/32
                                                      M(13/\beta) \mathcal{X} \neq 0
                           \parallel \mid \rightarrow \chi \mid
             |M(|\chi|) \models
                                                    M(7/4) = 1/128
                                                  MAY/26) Otherwise
                            |\mathcal{M}(|\chi| +
                                               M(1578) = 1/512
                                             M(2) = 1/1024
                                           M(33/16) = 1/2048
                                         M(17/8) = 1/4096
                                       M(69/32) = 1/8192
                                    M(35/16) = 1/16384
                                   M(9/4) = 1/32768
                                M(73/32) = 1/65536
                              M(37/16) = 1/131072
                            M(149/64) = 1/262144
                          M(75/32) = 1/524288
                       M(19/8) = 1/1048576
                     M(153/64) = 1/2097152
                   M(77/32) = 1/4194304
                 M(309/128) = 1/8388608
              M(155/64) = 1/16777216
             M(39/16) = 1/33554432
          M(313/128) = 1/67108864
 | | M(157/64) = 1/134217728
 | | M(629/256) = 1/268435456
 | M(315/128) = 1/536870912
M(79/32) = 1/1073741824
M(5/2) = 1/2147483648
```

$M(x) = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } x < 0\\ M(x - M(x - 1))/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Theorem: This recurrence halts for all real inputs.

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} -x \\ M(x - x) \end{cases}$$

Proof: Suppose M(x) does not halt but M(z) halts for all $z \le x-1$. Let $x_0 = x$ and $x_i = x_{i-1} - M(x_{i-1} - 1)$. IH implies this call to M halts. We have an infinite decreasing sequence $x_0 > x_1 > x_2 > ...$

So we have an infinite decreasing sequence $y_1 > y_2 > y_3 > ...$ of (weak) fusible numbers, which contradicts *well-ordering*.

if x < 0M(x-1))/2 otherwise

Let $y_i = x_i - 1 = x_{i-1} - 1 + M(x_{i-1} - 1)$. Then y_i is weak fusible for all i>0.



- A well-ordered set (X, <) is a set X with a total order < such that</p>
- Finite von Neumann ordinals, ordered by $< = \in = \subset$:
 - $\bullet 0 = \emptyset$
 - ▶ 1 = 0 \cup {0} = {0} = {∅}
 - ▶ 2 = 1 \cup {1} = {0, 1} = { {Ø}, {{Ø}} }
 - ▶ $3 = 2 \cup \{2\} = \{0, 1, 2\} = \{\{\emptyset\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}\}\}$
 - ▶ $n = (n-1) \cup \{n-1\} = \{0, 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$

every non-empty subset of X has a *smallest* element with respect to <.

Two well-ordered sets are similar if there is an order-preserving bijection between them. Equivalence classes are called order types or ordinals.

- First *transfinite* ordinal: $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\} = \mathbb{N}$
 - Then $\omega + 1$, $\omega + 2$, $\omega + 3$, ..., $\omega + \omega = \omega \cdot 2$
 - Then $\omega \cdot 2 + 1$, $\omega \cdot 2 + 2$, $\omega \cdot 2 + 3$, ..., $\omega \cdot 2 + \omega = \omega \cdot 3$
 - Then $\omega \cdot 3 + 1$, $\omega \cdot 3 + 2$, ..., $\omega \cdot 4$, $\omega \cdot 4 + 1$, $\omega \cdot$
 - Then $\omega^2 + 1$, $\omega^2 + 2$, ..., $\omega^2 + \omega$, $\omega^2 + \omega + \omega^2$ $\omega^2 \cdot 2 + \omega \cdot 2, ..., \omega^2 \cdot 2 + \omega \cdot 3, ..., \omega^2 \cdot 3, ..., \omega^2 \cdot 4, ..., \omega^2 \cdot 5, ..., \omega^2 \cdot \omega = \omega^3$
 - Then $\omega^3 + 1, \dots, \omega^3 + \omega, \omega^3 + \omega + 1, \dots, \omega^3 + \omega \cdot 2, \dots, \omega^3 + \omega^2, \dots, \omega^3 \cdot 2, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^5, \dots, \omega^{\omega}$
 - Then $\omega^{\omega} + 1, \dots, \omega^{\omega} + \omega, \dots, \omega^{\omega} + \omega^{2} \cdot 2, \dots$

$$\omega^{4} + 2, ..., \omega \cdot 5, ..., \omega \cdot 6, ..., \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^{2}$$

 $1, ..., \omega^{2} + \omega \cdot 2, ..., \omega^{2} + \omega \cdot 3, ..., \omega^{2} \cdot 2, ..., \omega^{2} \cdot 2 + \omega,$

•••,

............. ,_____ • Ordinal multiplication is *not* commutative: $2 \cdot \omega = \omega < \omega \cdot 2$

• Ordinal addition is *not* commutative: $1 + \omega = \omega < \omega + 1$



$Ord(x) = order type of all fusibles \le x$ $Ord'(x) = order type of all tame fusibles \le x$ • Ord(0) = 1, Ord(1/2) = 2, Ord(3/4) = 3, Ord(7/8) = 4, $Ord(1) = \omega$, • $Ord(9/8) = \omega + 1$, $Ord(19/16) = \omega + 1$, $Ord(5/4) = \omega \cdot 2$, $Ord(3/2) = \omega^2$

• $Ord(7/4) = \omega^3$. $Ord(2) = \omega^{\omega}$

 $Ord(x) = order type of all fusibles \le x$

 $Ord'(x) = order type of all tame fusibles \le x$

Theorem: $Ord(x) \ge Ord'(x)$ for all x. Ord(x) = Ord'(x) for all $x \le 2$.

Theorem: For every *tame* fusible x, we have $Ord'(x+1) = \omega^{Ord'(x)}$.

Corollary: The order type of the *tame* fusible numbers is ε_0

Corollary: The order type of the fusible numbers is at least ε_{0}

Corollary: For every integer $n \ge 0$, we have $Ord'(n) = \omega \uparrow n = \omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\dots^{\omega}}}}$ n ω's

Theorem: For every fusible x, we have $Ord(x + 1) \le \omega^{\omega^{Ord(x)}}$

Corollary: For every integer $n \ge 0$, we have $Ord(n) \le \omega \Uparrow 2n = \omega^{\omega^{\omega^{\cdots^{\omega}}}}$ $\underbrace{2n \omega's}$

Corollary: The order type of the fusible numbers is at most ε_0

Corollary: The order type of the fusible numbers is exactly ε_0





$M(x) = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } x < 0\\ M(x - M(x - 1))/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Let g(n) denote the largest gap between arbitrary fusibles $\geq n$



$M(x) = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } x < 0\\ M(x - M(x - 1))/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Let g(n) denote the largest gap between arbitrary fusibles $\geq n$

Knuth arrow hierarchy

$$a \uparrow^{n} b = \begin{cases} a \cdot b \\ 1 \\ a \uparrow^{n-1} (a \uparrow^{n-1}) \end{cases}$$

- A(m + 1,0) = A(m,1)
- A(m + 1, n + 1) = A(m, A(m + 1, n))

This is a good start.

if n = 0if b = 0 and n > 0

 $^{n}(b-1))$ otherwise

Ackermann hierarchy

A(0,n) = n + 1

Ordinal β is a successor ordinal if β has a largest element, or equivalently, if $\beta = \alpha + 1$ for some ordinal α . All other ordinals are *limit* ordinals

Every ordinal $\beta < \varepsilon_0$ can be writen in **Cantor normal form** $\beta = \omega^{\alpha_1} + \omega^{\alpha_2} + \cdots + \omega^{\alpha_k}$ for some ordinals $\beta > \alpha_1 \gg \alpha_2 > \ldots \geq \alpha_k \geq 0$.

- Every limit ordinal β is the limit of a *canonical sequence* $\beta[1] < \beta[2] < \beta[3] < ...$ If $\beta = \omega^{\alpha_1} + \omega^{\alpha_2} + \dots + \omega^{\alpha_k}$ for some k>1, then $\beta[\mathbf{n}] = \omega^{\alpha_k}[\mathbf{n}]$
- If $\beta = \omega^{\alpha+1} = \omega^{\alpha} \cdot \omega$, then $\beta[\mathbf{n}] = \omega^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{n}$

• If $\beta = \omega^{\alpha}$ for some limit ordinal α , then $\beta[\mathbf{n}] = \omega^{\alpha[\mathbf{n}]}$.

Intuitively, we get $\beta[n]$ by *replacing the last \omega in the CNF of \beta with n*.

Ordinal β is a *successor* ordinal if β has a largest element, or equivalently, if $\beta = \alpha + 1$ for some ordinal α . All other ordinals are *limit* ordinals

Every ordinal $\beta < \varepsilon_0$ can be writen in **Cantor normal form** $\beta = \omega^{\alpha_1} + \omega^{\alpha_2} + \cdots + \omega^{\alpha_k}$ for some ordinals $\beta > \alpha_1 \gg \alpha_2 > \ldots \geq \alpha_k \geq 0$.

If $\beta = \omega^{\alpha_1} + \omega^{\alpha_2} + \cdots + \omega^{\alpha_k}$ for some k>1, then $\beta[\mathbf{n}] = \omega^{\alpha_k}[\mathbf{n}]$ If $\beta = \omega^{\alpha+1} = \omega^{\alpha} \cdot \omega$, then $\beta[\mathbf{n}] = \omega^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ If $\beta = \omega^{\alpha}$ for some limit ordinal α , then $\beta[\mathbf{n}] = \omega^{\alpha[\mathbf{n}]}$.

Every limit ordinal β is the limit of a *canonical sequence* $\beta[1] < \beta[2] < \beta[3] < ...$

Intuitively, we get $\beta[n]$ by replacing the last ω in the CNF of β with n.

Wainer heirarchy

$$F_0(n) = n + 1$$

$$F_{\alpha+1}(n) = F_{\alpha}^{(n)}(n)$$

$$F_{\alpha}(n) = F_{\alpha[n]}(n)$$

Hardy h $H_0(n) = n$ $H_{\alpha+1}(n) = H_{\alpha}(n+1)$ $H_{\alpha}(n) = H_{\alpha[n]}(n)$

Theorem: $F_{\alpha}(n) = H_{\omega^{\alpha}}(n)$ and $F_{\varepsilon_0}(n) = H_{\varepsilon_0}(n)$

for all α for all limits $\alpha \leq \varepsilon_0$

- Hardy heirarchy
 - 1) for all α

for all limits $\alpha \leq \varepsilon_0$

Theorem: $-\log_2 g(n) \ge -\log_2 M(n) \ge F_{\varepsilon_0}(n-7)$ for all $n \ge 8$.

n	$-\log_2 N$
0	1
1	3
2	10
3	1541023
4	BIG





First order arithmetic: all formulas over $\{\forall, \exists, \lor, \land, \neg, =, 0, S, +, \cdot\}$

Peano axioms:

- $\exists x : x = 0 \quad \forall x : S(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall m, n : (S(m) = S(n)) \Rightarrow (m = n)$ ► = is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- ▶ Recursive definitions of + and ·
- Induction scheme: $(\phi(0) \land (\forall x : \phi(x) \Rightarrow \phi(S(x)))) \Rightarrow (\forall x : \phi(x))$

Encoding:

- "x > y" can be encoded as $\exists z : x = y + z + 1$.
- " $x \mod y = z$ " can be encoded as $z < y \land \exists q : x = q \cdot y + z$
 - The ordered pair (x, y) can be encoded as $\binom{x+y}{2} + x$.
 - Fixed-length tuples can be encoded as nested pairs.
- Finite sequences can be encoded using the Chinese Remainder Theorem [Gödel]
 - Rational numbers, finite sets, trees, graphs
 - Transfinite ordinals *less than* ε₀
 - Turing machine behavior for finite time

Encoding:

"x is fusible"

 \equiv

"There exists a finite set S of rational numbers that includes x, and such that for every $w \in S$, either w = 0 or there exist y, $z \in S$ such that |z - y| < 1 and 2w = y+z+1."

Encoding:

"For all n, there exist m and a finite set S of pairs that contains (n,m) and such that for every $(p,q) \in S$, if p < 0 then q = -p, and otherwise, there exists q' such that (p-1, q'), $(p-q', 2q) \in S''$

"M(n) terminates for every natural number n"

Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem:

Every formal system that models arithmetic is either *inconsistent* (contains proofs of some false statements) or *incomplete* (forbids proofs of some true statements).

Peano arithmetic is **obviously** consistent.*

Therefore it must be incomplete.

*equiconsistent with Skolem arithmetic [Gentzen] and several weak models of set theory

Unprovable statements in Peano Arithmetic

- ϵ_0 is a well-ordering [Gentzen]
- Goodstein sequences [Kirby Paris]
 - The Hydra Game [Kirby Paris]
- The Worm/Blackboard Game [Hamano and Okada, Beklemishev]
 - Strengthened finite Ramsey theorem [Paris Harrington]
 - Variants of Kruskal's tree theorem [Friedman]
- Variants of the graph structure theorem [Friedman Robertson Seymour]

Buchholz and Wainer's Theorem:

- Let T be a Turing machine that computes a function g: $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$;
 - in particular, T halts on every input.
- Suppose Peano Arithmetic can prove the statement "T halts on every input."
 - Then for some $\alpha < \varepsilon_0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $g(n) < F_{\alpha}(n)$ for every $n \ge n_0$.
 - The function g cannot grow too quickly.

Corollary:

The following true statements are expressible in first-order arithmetic, but not provable in Peano Arithmetic:

"For every integer n, there is a smallest (tame) fusible number > n."

"The function M(n) halts for every integer n."

"For every (tame) fusible number x, there is a maximum-height binary tree whose value is x."

