1. Suppose we are given an *undirected, unrooted* tree *T* with *n* vertices, represented using an adjacency list data structure. The tree *T* necessarily has n - 1 edges.

For any two vertices u and v of T, let $path_T(u, v)$ denote the unique path from u to v in T. For any three vertices u, v, w of T, let $meet_T(u, v, w)$ denote the unique vertex of T that lies on all three paths $path_T(u, v)$ and $path_T(u, w)$ and $path_T(v, w)$.

Describe and analyze a data structure that supports the following query:

• MEET(u, v, w): return the vertex $meet_T(u, v, w)$.

For full credit, your solution should have the following components:

- A description of your actual data structure
- An analysis of the space used by your data structure
- A preprocessing algorithm that builds your data structure from an adjacency list for T
- An analysis of the running time of your preprocessing algorithm.
- A query algorithm that implements MEET.
- A brief argument that your query algorithm is correct.
- An analysis of the running time of MEET.

Solution: I assume that the input tree *T* is represented using a standard adjacency list. Each vertex v points to a linked list of *b*'s neighbors; specifically, v stores a pointer *v*.*first_nbr* to one of its neighbors, and each neighbor record *w* stores a pointer *w*.*next_nbr* to the next neighbor in the list.

- Data structure: We choose a root vertex r and treat T as a rooted tree. Then we build a data structure that supports LCA queries in T in O(1) time, using O(n) space, as described in the lecture notes.
- **Preprocessing:** First we need to transform *T* into a *rooted* tree. We start by choosing an arbitrary vertex *r* to be the root of *T*. For each node *v*, we compute the distance *v.depth* from *r* to *v* in *T* using a standard breadth-first search. Now we can treat *T* as a rooted tree, *without building a separate data structure*, using the following algorithms to navigate through the children of each vertex:



((Return pointer to next sibling))
NEXTSIB(w):
if w.next_nbr = NULL
return NULL
else if w.next_nbr.depth < v.depth
return w.next_nbr.next_nbr
else
return w.next_nbr</pre>

(Alternatively, we could duplicate *T* into a standard rooted tree data structure, but why waste memory?)

Once we've converted T into a rooted tree, we preprocess T into a data structure that answers LCA queries using O(n) space and O(1) query time, exactly as described in the lecture notes. (Building this structure requires computing

the vertex depths, but we've already done that.)

- **Preprocessing time:** The time to convert *T* into a rooted tree is dominated by breadth-first search, which takes O(n) time. Building the LCA-query data structure for *T* takes O(n) time, as described in the lecture notes.
- Query algorithm:

```
\underline{MEET(u, v, w):}
if LCA(u, v) = LCA(u, w)

return LCA(v, w)

else if LCA(u, w) = LCA(v, w)

return LCA(u, v)

else \langle\!\langle LCA(u, v) = LCA(v, w) \rangle\!\rangle

return LCA(u, w)
```

- Proof of correctness: There are two cases to consider:
 - If lca(u, v) = lca(u, w) = lca(v, w) = x, then meet(u, v, w) = x.
 - Otherwise, without loss of generality, $lca(u, v) \neq lca(v, w)$. Both lca(u, v)and lca(v, w) are ancestors of v, so one must be a proper ancestor of the other. Without loss of generality, suppose lca(u, v) is a proper ancestor of lca(v, w). Then lca(u, v) = lca(u, w) and meet(u, v, w) = lca(v, w).
- Query time: MEET makes at most five LCA queries, each of which is answered in O(1) time, so its overall running time is O(1).