

6 Generic Planar Curves

Recall that a *closed curve* in the plane is any continuous function from the circle to the plane. Previously we considered a common representation of closed curves as *polygons*: circular sequences of line segments joined at common endpoints. Polygons are convenient for reasoning about intersections with other simple geometric structures like vertical rays, trapezoidal decompositions, and triangulations, but in other ways the geometry of the representation is irrelevant. Starting with this lecture, I'll consider a more abstract representation that records only how the curve intersects *itself*.

A self-intersection $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$ of a closed curve γ is *transverse* if, for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the subpaths $\gamma(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$ and $\gamma(t' - \varepsilon, t' + \varepsilon)$ are homeomorphic to two orthogonal lines. A closed curve is *generic* if every self-intersection is transverse (in particular, there are no self-tangencies or repeated curve *segments*) and there are no triple self-intersections $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t') = \gamma(t'')$.




Figure 1: A generic closed curve with eleven crossings

Two generic curves are *isotopic* if one can be continuously deformed to the other without ever changing the number of self-intersections. For example, all simple closed curves are isotopic to each other. A homotopy that always preserves the number of self-intersections is called an *isotopy*. In fact, two planar curves γ and γ' are isotopic if and only if there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\gamma' = h \circ \gamma$. At least in the next few lectures, we really don't care about the *geometry* of these curves, so we won't distinguish between isotopic curves.

6.1 Technicalities

Generic closed curves are sometimes called *immersions* or *regular curves*, but the latter term more commonly refers to closed curves with continuous non-zero derivatives. In fact, the most common word used to describe this class of closed curves is “curve”! The definition of generic curves does *not* require continuous, non-zero, or even well-defined derivatives at *any* point, much less at *every* point. Despite this freedom, the following standard *compactness argument* implies that generic curves are well-behaved.

Lemma: *Every generic closed curve has a finite number of self-intersection points.*

Proof: Call a point $t \in S^1$ *singular* if $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$ for some $t' \neq t$, and *regular* otherwise. For each point $t \in S^1$, we define an open interval U_t as follows:

- If t is singular, let $U_t = (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$, such that $\gamma(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$ and some other arc

$\gamma(t' - \varepsilon, t' + \varepsilon)$ are homeomorphic to two orthogonal lines.

- If t is regular, let U_t be any open interval that contains t but no singular points.

The open sets $\mathcal{C} = \{U_t \mid t \in S^1\}$ clearly *cover* the circle, meaning $\bigcup_{t \in S^1} U_t = S^1$. Because S^1 is compact, there must be a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}$ that also covers S^1 . Let $F \subset S^1$ be the (necessarily finite) index set of the finite subcover \mathcal{F} , meaning $\mathcal{F} = \{U_t \mid t \in F\}$.

The only set in \mathcal{U} that contains a singular point t is its own interval U_t . Thus, the finite set T must contain every singular point.

The adjective “generic” is justified by the observation that *every* closed curve can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a generic closed curve. Previously we argued that every closed curve can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a *polygon*. (This is the *simplicial approximation theorem*.) An arbitrarily small perturbation of any polygon ensures that all vertices are distinct, no vertex lies in the interior of an edge, and no three edges share a common point. Any polygon satisfying these conditions is a generic closed curve.

A more careful application of simplicial approximation and compactness implies that any generic closed curve γ can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a polygon that is *isotopic* to γ . Thus, even though generic curves are not polygons *by definition*, they are polygons *without loss of generality*. In fact, results in future lectures imply that each generic curve with n self-intersection points is isotopic to a polygon with only $O(n)$ vertices.

6.2 Image graphs

Any non-simple generic closed curve can be naturally represented by its *image graph*, which is a connected 4-regular plane graph¹ whose vertices are the self-intersection points of the curve, and whose edges are curve segments between vertices. Image graphs are not necessary *simple*; they can contain loops and parallel edges. The image graph of a *simple* closed curve is obviously a simple cycle.




Figure 2: The image graph of the curve in Figure 1

However, not every 4-regular plane graph is the image graph of a generic closed curve. Any generic curve is a particular Euler tour of its image graph. Recall that an *Euler tour* of a graph G is any closed walk that traverses each edge of G exactly once. A closed walk is *Gaussian* if,

¹We’re admittedly getting a little ahead of ourselves here. A *plane graph* is any graph whose vertices are points in the plane, and whose edges are *interior-disjoint* paths between their endpoints.

whenever the walk visits a vertex v , it enters and exits v along *opposing* edges. A 4-regular graph is *unicursal* if it contains a Gaussian Euler tour; every *unicursal* 4-regular plane graph is the image of a non-simple generic curve.

Any generic planar curve partitions the plane into regions called the *faces* of the curve. The Jordan-Schönflies theorem implies that every planar curve has one unbounded face that is homeomorphic to the complement of a closed disk, and every other face is homeomorphic to an open disk. The faces of a curve are also the faces of its image graph. A face is called a *monogon* if it has only one edge on its boundary, a *bigon* if it has two boundary edges, and a *triangle* if it has three boundary edges.²

More generally, a *multicurve* is a continuous map of the disjoint union of circles into the plane; a multicurve is *generic* if it has only transverse pairwise self-intersections. The restriction of a multicurve to one of its circles is a generic closed curve called a *constituent* of the multicurve. Every 4-regular plane graph G is the image graph of a generic multicurve, whose constituents are the Gaussian walks in G . Most of the results I'll discuss in this set of lectures extend easily to multicurves, but for ease of exposition I'll discuss only curves explicitly.

6.3 Gauss codes and Gauss diagrams

In the mid-1800s, Gauss developed a symbolic representation of closed curves similar to the crossing sequences that we previously used for homotopy testing.

Assign a unique label to each vertex of the image graph of the curve. The *Gauss code* of a curve is the sequence of labels encountered by a point moving once around the curve, starting at an arbitrary *basepoint* and moving in an arbitrary direction. In other words, a Gauss code is a *self-crossing* sequence. Different choices of basepoint and direction lead to different Gauss codes. Specifically, changing the basepoint cyclically shifts the Gauss code, and changing direction reverses the Gauss code.

A *signed* Gauss code also records *how* the curve crosses itself at each vertex. Imagine a point moving along the curve in the chosen direction, starting at the chosen basepoint. The signed Gauss code records a *positive* crossing whenever the point crosses the curve from right to left, and a *negative* crossing whenever the point crosses the curve from left to right. (This is exactly the same sign convention that we used to compute winding number, *from the point of view of the polygon*.) Each vertex of the image graph of a curve appears twice in the curve's signed Gauss code, once with each sign. I'll typically indicate positive and negative crossings using upper- and lower-case letters, respectively. Again, different choices of basepoint and direction lead to different signed Gauss codes.




Figure 3: Gauss's sign convention for positive and negative crossings.

Figure 4 shows the curve from Figure 1, with a direction indicated by arrows and a basepoint on the far left indicated by a white arrowhead. Each vertex is labeled positive or negative

²Stop trying to make “digon” and “trigon” happen, Gretchen. They're not going to happen.

according to the sign of the *first* crossing through that vertex. The resulting signed Gauss code is $ABcdeFGChAIgDjKHbi fEJK$; by forgetting the signs, we recover the unsigned Gauss code $ABCDEFGHIJGDKHBI FEJK$.




Figure 4: A based directed curve with signed Gauss code $ABcdeFGChAIgDjKHbi fEJK$.

Gauss diagrams are an equivalent graphical representation of Gauss codes. A Gauss diagram for a curve with n self-intersections consists of an undirected cycle of $2n$ nodes labeled by crossings, in the order they appear along the curve, along with edges joining the two appearances of each crossing point, directed from the negative crossing to the positive crossing. (Using directed edges here is slightly non-standard.)




Figure 5: A Gauss diagram for the signed Gauss code $ABcdeFGChAIgDjKHbi fEJK$.

6.4 Tracing Faces

Perhaps surprisingly, we can completely recover the combinatorial structure of a curve from its signed Gauss code. I'll justify this claim in more generality later, when we've built up more background on planar graphs, but we can already show one example, observed by Carter in the early 1990s [2]: The signed Gauss code implicitly encodes the *faces* of the curve.

Imagine a point moving counterclockwise around the boundary of some face (or clockwise if the face is unbounded); the face always lies just to the left of the moving point. Between vertices,

the point is either moving forward or backward along some edge of the image graph. At each crossing, the point turns to the *left*, as follows:

- After entering a positive crossing forward, leave the corresponding negative crossing backward.
- After entering a negative crossing forward, leave the corresponding positive crossing forward.
- After entering a positive crossing backward, leave the corresponding negative crossing forward.
- After entering a negative crossing backward, leave the corresponding positive crossing backward.

Similar case analysis allows us to trace a face to the right of a moving point, in clockwise order around the face, by turning right at every vertex.




Figure 6: Turning left or turning right at a vertex.

(Pseudo)python (pseudo)code for Cater's face-tracing algorithm is shown below. The first function computes the matching between different occurrences of the same crossing point; obviously this matching only needs to be extracted once if we want to trace several faces. With careful bookkeeping, we can extract *all* the faces of the curve directly from the signed Gauss code in $O(n)$ time. Different Gauss codes for the same curve yield the same set of faces (but possibly with the vertices of each face rotated and/or reflected).

```
# extract matching between crossings from a signed Gauss code
# input: code = signed Gauss code
#         = permutation of list(range(-n,0)) + list(range(1,n+1))
# output: array match, defined by code[match[i]] = -code[i]
def indexCode(code):
    N = len(code)/2
    posindex = [0] * (N/2)
    match = [0] * N
    for i in range(N):
        if code[i] > 0:
            posindex[code[i] - 1] = i
    for i in range(N):
        if code[i] < 0:
            match[i] = posindex[1 - code[i]]
            match[match[i]] = i
    return match

# trace the face to the right of a moving point
# code = signed Gauss code (integers)
# start = index of starting edge (basepoint edge = 0)
# forward = boolean indicating starting direction
```

```

def traceFace(code, starti, forward):
    match = matchCode(code)
    N = len(code)
    i = starti
    while True:
        next = forward ? i : (i + N - 1)%N
        print(code[next])
        if code[next] > 0:
            forward = !forward
        i = match[next]
        if i = starti:
            break

```

The clockwise tracing process can be visualized on the Gauss diagram as follows. We start by tracing just inside an arc of the outer circle. Whenever we reach a crossing, we follow the interior edge across the diagram to its partner. If the crossing is positive, we stay on the same side of the interior edge; if the crossing is negative, we switch to the opposite side of the interior edge. Again, we can visualize counterclockwise tracing by a symmetric case analysis.




Figure 7: Tracing the outer face of our example curve (to the right of the basepoint)

6.5 Homotopy moves

Every closed curve in the plane is homotopic to a point, and therefore to every other closed curve in the plane. However, it is still useful to study the *structure* of homotopies between generic curves. Recall that the simplicial approximation theorem let us approximate any homotopy between *polygons* as a finite sequence of *vertex moves*. If we make sufficiently small vertex moves that preserve genericity, each move incurs only a small local change in the pattern of self-intersections.

Careful case analysis implies that every homotopy between generic curves can be approximated by a finite sequence of *homotopy moves* of five different types. Each homotopy move modifies the curve only within a small neighborhood containing at most three vertices, leaving the rest of the curve unchanged outside this neighborhood.

- $1 \rightarrow 0$: remove a monogon

- $0 \rightarrow 1$: create a monogon
- $2 \rightarrow 0$: remove a bigon by separating two subpaths.
- $0 \rightarrow 2$: create a bigon by overlapping two subpaths.
- $3 \rightarrow 3$: flip a triangle by moving one subpath over the opposite crossing.




Figure 8: $1 \rightarrow 0$, $2 \rightarrow 0$, and $3 \rightarrow 3$ homotopy moves

In particular, we have the following:

Theorem: Every generic closed curve in the plane can be transformed into a simple closed curve by a finite sequence of homotopy moves.




Figure 9: A sequence of homotopy moves simplifying the curve in Figure 1

The proof of this theorem (via simplicial approximation) follows from the foundational work of Alexander and Briggs [1] and Reidemeister [2] on knot diagrams.³ This proof is fundamentally non-constructive; the number of homotopy moves we need depends on the geometric structure of the “given” homotopy. In a future lecture, we will see an *algorithm*, implicit in the work of Steinitz [3,4] a decade before Alexander, Briggs, or Reidemeister, that contracts any closed curve with n vertices using at most $O(n^2)$ homotopy moves.

Each homotopy move can be implemented by locally modifying the Gauss code/diagram, or the equivalent data structures, as follows.

- $1 \rightarrow 0$: remove a consecutive pair of crossings of the same vertex, for example: $\cdots Aa \cdots$
- $2 \rightarrow 0$: remove two consecutive pairs, each with opposing signs, that cover two vertices, for example: $\cdots Ab \cdots Ba \cdots$ or $\cdots aB \cdots Ab \cdots$
- $3 \rightarrow 3$: reverse three consecutive pairs that cover exactly three vertices, for example: $\cdots AB \cdots bc \cdots aC \cdots \mapsto \cdots BA \cdots cb \cdots Ca \cdots$.

³A knot diagram is generic closed curve with additional data at each crossing, indicating which branch of the curve passes in front of the other. Homotopy moves that sensibly preserves this crossing data are called *Reidemeister moves*.

6.6 Planarity testing

Every signed Gauss code is a *signed double-permutation*: A string of even length, in which each symbol appears exactly twice, once positive and once negative. However, not every signed double-permutation is the signed Gauss code of a planar curve. For example, no planar curve has the signed Gauss code ABab. (This is in fact the signed Gauss code of a closed curve on the *torus*!) However, signed Gauss codes of planar curves have a very simple characterization.

Lemma: *Every generic closed curve in the plane with n vertices has exactly $n + 2$ faces.*

Proof (via homotopy): Consider two generic closed curves γ and γ' that differ by one homotopy move. Suppose γ has n vertices and f faces, and γ' has n' vertices and f' faces.

- If the move has type $1 \rightarrow 0$, then $n' = n - 1$ and $f' = f - 1$.
- If the move has type $0 \rightarrow 1$, then $n' = n + 1$ and $f' = f + 1$.
- If the move has type $2 \rightarrow 0$, then $n' = n - 2$ and $f' = f - 2$.
- If the move has type $0 \rightarrow 2$, then $n' = n + 2$ and $f' = f + 2$.
- If the move has type $3 \rightarrow 3$, then $n' = n$ and $f' = f$.

In all five cases, we have $f - n = f' - n'$.

It follows by induction that if γ' is any curve reachable from γ by a finite sequence of homotopy moves, then $f - n = f' - n'$. In particular, if γ' is simple, then $n' = 0$ and (by the Jordan curve theorem!) $f' = 2$, and therefore $f - n = 2$.

Proof (sketch, via smoothing): *[[Define smoothing!]]* Consider two generic closed curves γ and γ' where γ' is the result of smoothing one vertex of γ . If γ has n vertices and f faces, then γ' has $n - 1$ vertices and $f - 1$ faces. Every simple curve has 0 vertices and 2 faces. The lemma follows immediately by induction on the number of vertices.

In fact, the converse of this lemma is also true, although we don't yet have the tools to prove it:

Theorem: *A signed double-permutation is the signed Gauss code of a planar curve if and only if it has two more faces than vertices.*

If you have played with planar graphs before, you might recognize this theorem as an avatar of *Euler's formula* $V - E + F = 2$. Because every vertex in the image graph of any non-simple curve has degree 4, the number of edges is exactly twice the number of vertices.

6.7 ...and the Aptly Named Yadda Yadda

- Knots and knot diagrams
- Carter surfaces of non-planar Gauss codes

6.8 References

1. James W. Alexander and Garland B. Briggs. On types of knotted curves. *Ann. Math.* 28(1/4):562–586, 1926–1927. *Reidemeister moves, with pictures.*
2. J. Scott Carter. Classifying immersed curves. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 111(1):281–287, 1991. *The face-tracing algorithm to reconstruct curves from signed Gauss codes.*

3. Kurt Reidemeister. Elementare Begründung der Knotentheorie. *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg* 5:24–32, 1927. *Reidemeister moves, without pictures.*
4. Ernst Steinitz. Polyeder und Raumeinteilungen. *Enzyklopädie der mathematischen Wissenschaften mit Einschluss ihrer Anwendungen* III.AB(12):1–139, 1916. *Proof of “Steinitz’s theorem” — Every 3-connected planar graph is the 1-skeleton of a convex polyhedron — using $3 \rightarrow 3$ homotopy moves in the medial graph. I promise those words will eventually make sense.*
5. Ernst Steinitz and Hans Rademacher. *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der Polyeder: unter Einschluß der Elemente der Topologie.* Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 41. Springer-Verlag, 1934. Reprinted 1976. *More detailed proof of “Steinitz’s theorem”, with pictures.*

6.9 Possible reorganization

Consider shuffling the topics in lectures 6–8:

- Generic curves intro
 - image graphs
 - oriented and connected smoothing
 - winding numbers
 - signed crossings
 - rotation numbers: smiles and frowns, writhe (via smoothing)
- Gauss codes
 - motivation for studying these things!
 - signed: Gauss diagrams, face tracing, Euler’s formula (via smoothing)
 - unsigned: Nagy graphs, Dehn codes, interlacement
- Homotopy
 - homotopy moves
 - Steinitz’s contraction
 - regular homotopy, Whitney-Graustein, Nowik’s algorithm
 - defect and strangeness